

Emergency Solutions Grant (Annual)

2025 Scoring Sheet

Project Information

Project Name:	Organization:
Project Component:	Activity Type(s):
Amount Requested:	
Project Contact Person:	Title:
Person Scoring Form:	Organization:
Date Scoring Completed:	

***The first 3 scoring elements are the threshold requirements. Applicants must meet the minimum passing score indicated in these 3 areas in order for their application to be considered for funding.**

Threshold #	THRESHOLD Scoring Elements	Scoring Description and Minimum Threshold Requirement	Possible Score	Actual Score
1.	Agree to comply with all ESG Laws, Regulations, and Notices, HMIS & Coordinated Entry requirements	Applicant must read and certify that agency agrees to abide by all ESG requirements. <i>(* Victim Service Providers are exempt from HMIS and Coordinated Entry participation but must maintain an internal database which captures all HMIS data elements.)</i>	Checkmark Or No Checkmark	
2.	Compliance with Homeless Definitions	Description of how project will ensure and document compliance with HUD's definition of homelessness for participants. Applicant must provide a plan and process for certifying compliance in order to receive a minimum passing score of 5 points. To receive maximum points, plan must be clear and precise and/or already operating successfully.	0 5 10 (Can only select 0, 5, or 10 for score)	

3.	Match	Document committed and/or pending match. Applicant must have at least 1:1 pending to receive a checkmark necessary to meet minimum threshold.	Checkmark Or No Checkmark	
	Threshold SUB-TOTAL	Applicant must meet minimum threshold requirements explained above to receive threshold passing score. Applicants must have 2 checkmarks and a score of at least 5 to meet threshold requirement.		

If threshold criteria are met continue scoring for other elements and TOTAL SCORE

OTHER Scoring Elements	Scoring Description	Possible Score	Actual Score
<p>Previous Experience and in good standing with funding sources and capacity to administer Federal, State, local and private funds.</p>	<p>Experience in the field, compliance with previous funding requirements, violations, pay back and/or underutilization of previous funds awarded within the original grant period: 0 – Lacks experience and/or capacity to administer a federal/state grant; 5 – Has had to pay back some funds, had compliance issues, and/or underutilized grant funds within the past 5 years (2018 – current); 10 – Experience with federal/state grants with no repayment of funds required, no compliance issues, and full utilization of grant funds to date. (Source of information: project’s 2024 ESG Application, monitoring reports, and documentation from City of Canton and Collaborative Applicant)</p>	<p>0 5 10 (Can only select 0, 5, or 10 for score)</p>	<p>Up to 10 points</p>
<p>Coordinate and Integrate with other Mainstream Services and Programs Or Description of Essential Services</p>	<p>Description of how program will coordinate and integrate with other mainstream programs and services to assist participants in obtaining services, benefits, and non-employment and employment income (if applicable). If Street Outreach, description of how program will provide essential services: 0 – Lacks strong plan or record of success relevant to this criterion in this or similar projects 5 – Has a strong plan and some record of success with this or similar projects 10 – Has strong plan and strong record of success with this or similar projects and includes data related to outcome measures and results</p>	<p>0 5 10 (Can only select 0, 5, or 10 for score)</p>	<p>Up to 10 points</p>

	(Source of information: project’s 2024 ESG Application And/or documentation submitted to the City of Canton and/or designee)		
Rate of Housing Placement	Description of how the project will assist persons exit to permanent (positive) housing destinations. If Street Outreach, how the project will assist persons with entering emergency shelter or prioritization for rapid rehousing or permanent supportive housing: 0 – Lacks strong plan or no record of success with this or similar projects 5 – Has a strong plan and some record of success with this or similar projects 10 – Has a strong plan and strong record of success based on HMIS or comparable database data with this or similar projects (Source of information: project’s 2024 ESG Application and/or documentation submitted to the City of Canton and/or designee, and/or HMIS or comparable database data)	0 5 10 (Can only select 0, 5, 10 for score)	Up to 10 points
Statement of Work	Description of scope of services / work plan: 0 – Fails to address all the required elements 5 – Addresses all the elements but responses are weak with respect to three or more of those elements 10 – Addresses all the elements but responses are weak with respect to at least one but less than three of those elements 15 – Addresses all the elements clearly and in a way that suggests the program will be successful in fulfilling expectations (Source of information: project’s 2024 ESG Application)	0 5 10 15 (Can only select 0, 5, 10, or 15 for score)	Up to 15 points
Collaboration with the CoC	Membership in the CoC, participation in a CoC Committee or the CoC Board. Points awarded will depend on the organization’s participation in and collaboration with the HCCSC system as a whole and the extent to which the organization provides personnel to contribute to committees and workgroups that benefit the system as a whole: 0 – Not a member of the HCCSC 5 – A member of the HCCSC whose staff is not active on HCCSC committees 10 – Member of the HCCSC whose staff is also an active	0 5 10 (Can only select 0, 5, or 10 for score)	Up to 10 points

	member on one or more HCCSC committee(s) (Source of information: project’s 2024 ESG Application, Collaborative Applicant documentation)		
Promote Equity – Equity Factors	Score will be based on the number of boxes selected for promoting equity within the organization and / or project being proposed and the related description of the organization’s plan. 0 – Project either does not select all of the first three boxes or does not provide a description of the organization’s process for including feedback from persons with lived experience 2- Project selects the first three boxes and provides a description of the organization’s process for including feedback from persons with lived experience 4 – Project selects all four boxes and provides a detailed description of the organization’s plans for including feedback from persons with lived experience and implementing changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable (Source of information: project’s 2024 ESG Application)	0 2 4 (Can only select 0, 2 or 4 for score)	Up to 4 Points
Budget	Score will be based on expenses per participant charged to the ESG grant compared with the average for other projects of the same type with similar populations served (if applicable): 0 – Project whose average cost exceeds the average for similar projects by 10% or more 5 – Project whose average cost is greater or lesser than the average for similar projects by less than 10% 10 – Project whose average cost falls below the average for similar projects by 10% or more (Source of information: project’s 2024 ESG Application)	0 5 10 (Can only select 0, 5, or 10 for score)	Up to 10 points
Sub-Total Score: Threshold #2	Score is carried forward from the Threshold Criteria	5 10 (Can only select 5 or 10 for score)	
TOTAL POINTS		79	
TOTAL SCORE	(Points earned as a percentage of total points available to the project)	100%	

Additional Notes/Recommendations: