FY2023 HCCSC SCORING CRITERIA AND SCORE SHEET

*Please see HCCSC Scoring Criteria and Score Sheet Appendix

| Project Title: | Date of Review Meeting: |
|--|---|
| Lead Agency: | Date of Scoring Meeting: |
| Individuals Participating in Review and Scoring for HCCSC: | Individuals Representing Agency and Contact Person: |
| | |
| | |
| marviduais rarticipating in neview and scoring for neese. | marviadas representing Agency and contact reson. |

| Criteria | Relevant Factors/Source of Evidence | Point Value | Score |
|--|--|--|-----------------|
| Severity of Need/Vulnerability of | | | |
| Those to be Served | | | |
| Vulnerability and severity of need of participants being served by project as compared to project type average SPDAT and F-SPDAT score (Renewals Only) | Average SPDAT and F-SPDAT scores of all individuals and families admitted to a project type during CY2021 and CY2022 will be compared with average SPDAT scores of individuals and families admitted to each project of the same type during CY2021 and CY2022. Examples of needs and vulnerabilities considered include but are not limited to history of victimization, criminal history, chronic homelessness, low or no income, current or past substance use. (Source of Data: HMIS) | 5 points for both individual and family scores that deviate from the project type average by less than 5% of that average One additional point (up to a maximum of 5) for every 5% by which a project's individual or family SPDAT score exceeds the project type average One less point (up to maximum of 5) for every 5% by which a project's individual or family SPDAT score falls short of the project type average | Up to 10 points |

| Value bassachalds, advaittad ta vasiaat duvina | 1/10th of a maint will be assented for each 10/ of | Upto 10 |
|---|---|--|
| Only households admitted to project during | 1/10 th of a point will be awarded for each 1% of | • |
| Y2021and CY2022 will be counted. Data will be | households served that fall within the local | points |
| rawn from HMIS | targeted subpopulations. | |
| IOTE: Households falling within more than one | | |
| argeted subpopulation will not result in higher | | |
| core on this criterion. | | |
| | | |
| Source of Data: HMIS) | | |
| vidence from the scoring appendix will be | 1/10 th of a point will be awarded for each 1% of | Upto 10 |
| onsidered to determine the extent to which the | households to be served that fall within the | points |
| rogram intends to serve members of targeted | local targeted subpopulations. | |
| ubpopulations. | | |
| | | |
| Source of data: Project information provided to | | |
| he Collaborative Applicant in the Scoring | | |
| ppendix.) | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| vi or ul | ore on this criterion. Durce of Data: HMIS) idence from the scoring appendix will be insidered to determine the extent to which the ogram intends to serve members of targeted bipopulations. Durce of data: Project information provided to be Collaborative Applicant in the Scoring | ore on this criterion. ource of Data: HMIS) idence from the scoring appendix will be nsidered to determine the extent to which the ogram intends to serve members of targeted bpopulations. ource of data: Project information provided to e Collaborative Applicant in the Scoring |

| Project Description – Operational Capacity | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|--|-------------------|
| Up to date policies and procedures that reflect compliance with 24 CFR 578 and HCCSC policies (Renewals Only) | Scores will be based on receipt of up-to-date policies and procedures that reflect awareness of and compliance with 24 CFR 578 and HCCSC policies and procedures. (Source of information: documents submitted to Collaborative Applicant) | • | 2 points will be awarded if up-to-date policies and procedures have been submitted to the Collaborative Applicant by the date listed in the Scoring Appendix 2 points will be awarded if the up-to-date policies and procedures provided to the Collaborative Applicant are in compliance with 24 CFR 578 and HCCSC policies and include anti-discrimination policies that ensure LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination. | Up to 4 points |
| Coordination with Housing and Healthcare (New and Expansion PH - PSH and RRH Projects Only) | Scores will be based on answers provided in the Project Description of the 2023 eSNAPS application and project information provided to the Collaborative Applicant in the Scoring Appendix. | • | 5 points will be awarded if the PSH project utilizes housing subsidies for at least 25 percent of the units included in the project or in the case of RRH at least 25 percent of the program participants anticipated to be served by the project 5 points will be awarded if the PSH or RRH project demonstrates through a written commitment from a healthcare organization that the value of assistance being provided is an amount that is equivalent to 25 percent of the funding being requested for the project or in the case of a substance use treatment or recovery provider, it will provide access to treatment or recovery services for all program participants | Up to 10 points |
| Supportive Services for Program Participants (All Projects) | Scores will be based on answers in 2023 eSNAPS Application or Scoring Appendix. | in Pr (tr | oints will be awarded for activities included the Supportive Services for rogram Participants section ransportation, annual follow up, access SSI/SSDI technical assistance, and ompleted SOAR training) | Up to 4 points |

Project Title: Lead Agency:

| Supportive Services | Scores will be based on answers in the 2023 | • 0 points will be awarded if the proposed Up to 5 |
|---------------------|---|--|
| for Program | eSNAPS Application or Scoring Appendix. | project does not have a plan to supplement points |
| Participants | | CoC Program funds with financial resources |
| (New and Expansion | | from other public and private sources that |
| Projects) | | support supportive service activities |
| | | 5 points will be awarded if the proposed |
| | | project has a plan to supplement CoC |
| | | program funds with financial resources from |
| | | other public and private sources that |
| | | support supportive services activities |

| Performance Outcomes – RENEWALS and Expansion Project Requests | | | |
|--|---|--|-----------------|
| Promoting housing stability (Renewals and Expansion Project Requests) | Score will be based on % of project participants who exited to or remained in PH during grant year covered by the projects most recent APR. (Source of data: APR Q23C submitted to the SAGE HMIS Repository | Points will be awarded based on the % of project participants who remained in or exited to PH Permanent Supportive Housing Projects Only: 10 pts.= 95% or above 5 pts.=85%-94.9% 0 pts=below 85% Rapid Rehousing Projects Only: 10 pts.=75% or above 5 pts. = 65%-74.9% 0 pts.=below 65% | Up to 10 points |
| Increasing earned income (i.e., employment income) (Renewals and Expansion Project Requests – PSH Projects Only) | Score will be based on % of project's adult participants who had succeeded in gaining or increasing earned income: Client Cash Income Change - Income Source - by Start and Latest Status (Annual Assessment) as reflected during the grant year covered by the project's most recent APR. (Source of data: APR Q19a1 submitted in SAGE HMIS Repository) | Points will be awarded based on the % of the project's adult participants who gained or increased earned income. Permanent Supportive Housing Projects Only: 5 pts.=10% or above 2.5 pts.=5%-9.9% 0 pts.=below 5% | Up to 5 points |

| Increasing other income (i.e., from non- employment) sources (Renewals and Expansion Project Requests – PSH Projects Only) | Score will be based on % of project's adult participants who had succeeded in gaining or increasing other income: Client Cash Income Change - Income Source - by Start and Latest Status (Annual Assessment) as reflected during the grant year covered by the project's most recent APR. Source of data: APR Q19a1 submitted to the SAGE HMIS Repository) | Points will be awarded based on the % of the project's adult participants who gained of increased other income. Permanent Supportive Housing Projects Only: 5 pts.=50% or above 2.5 pts.=40%-49.9% 0 pts.=below 40% | Up to 5 points |
|--|---|---|-------------------|
| Increasing earned income (i.e., employment income) (Renewals and Expansion Project Requests) | Score will be based on % of project's adult participants who had succeeded in gaining or increasing earned income: Client Cash Income Change-Income Source from project by Start and Exit as reflected during the grant year covered by the project's most recent APR. (Source of data: APR Q19a2 submitted in SAGE HMIS Repository | Points will be awarded based on the % of the project's adult participants who gained or increased earned income Permanent Supportive Housing Projects Only: 5 pts.=10% or above 2.5 pts.=5%-9.9% 0 pts.=below 5% Rapid R-Housing Projects Only: 5 pts.=25% or above 2.5 pts.=15%-24.9% 0 pts.=below 15% | Up to 5 points |
| Increasing other income (i.e., from non- employment) sources (Renewals and Expansion Project Requests) | Score will be based on % of project's adult participants who had succeeded in gaining or increasing other income: Client Cash Income Change - Income Source - by Start and Exit as reflected during the grant year covered by the project's most recent APR. (Source of data: APR Q19a2 submitted to the SAGE HMIS Repository) | Points will be awarded based on the % of the project's adult participants who gained or increased other income Permanent Supportive Housing Projects Only: 5 pts.=40% or above 2.5 pts.=30%-39.9% 0 pts.=below 30% Rapid R-Housing Projects Only: 5 pts.=3% or above 2.5 pts.=1%-2.9% 0 pts.=below 1% | Up to 5 points |

| Obtaining health insurance | Score will be based on % of project's participants | Points will be awarded based on the % of the | Up to 10 |
|---|---|---|-----------------|
| (Renewals and Expansion | (including adults and children and leavers and | project's participants who had at least one form | points |
| Project Requests) | stayers) who had at least one source of health insurance at program exit or latest status (annual | of health insurance. | |
| | assessment) during the grant year covered by the | 10 pts.= 95% or above | |
| | project's most recent APR. | 5 pts.=85%-94.9%% | |
| | | 2.5 pts.=75%-84.9% | |
| | (Source of data: APR Q21 submitted to the SAGE HMIS Repository) | 0 pts.= below 75% | |
| Minimizing returns to homelessness within 6 | Score will be based on the % of those who exited the project during FFY 2021 and returned to | 1/10 th of a point will be deducted for each 1% of the project participants who exited the | Up to 10 points |
| months | homelessness within 6 months. | project and returned to homelessness within 6 | deduction |
| (Renewals and Expansion | | months | |
| Project Requests) | (Source of Data: HMIS | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Application Narrative— NEW PROJECTS ONLY | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------|
| Experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including experience leveraging Federal, State, local, and private sector funds. (New Projects Only) | Score based on description included in the 2023eSNAPS application and information provided to the Collaborative Applicant in the Scoring Appendix. | O points will be awarded if the organization does not have experience in utilizing federal funds or has used funds ineffectively 5 points will be awarded if the organization has experience in effectively utilizing federal funds | Up to 5 points |
| Project Description and Milestones (New Projects Only) | Score based on description included in 2023eSNAPS Application, if applicable. | O points will be awarded if project lacks strong plan for stability or no record of success relevant to this criterion in this project or similar projects 5 points will be awarded if project has a strong plan and some record of success with this or similar projects 10 points will be awarded if project has strong plan and strong record of success with this or similar projects | Up to 10 points |
| Coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social services, and employment programs (New Projects Only) | Score based on description included in 2023HCCSC eSNAPS Application and other information that may be solicited from the project. | O points will be awarded if project lacks strong plan to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream services or a record of success relevant to this criterion with this project or similar projects 5 points will be awarded if project has a strong plan and some record of success with this or similar projects 10 points will be awarded if project has strong plan and strong record of success with this or similar projects | Up to 10 points |

| All DV Bonus Projects | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------------|
| Statement of need (New PH-RRH Projects) | Score based on the project information provided to the Collaborative Applicant in the Scoring Appendix or the eSNAPS application | 5 points will be awarded if the statement of need is complete and provides data that supports an unmet need | Up to 5 points |
| Identify andaddress improvement opportunities for coordinatedentry to better meet the needs of people experiencing homelessness who are survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking. (New or Expansion SSO-CE Projects) | Score based on the project information provided to the Collaborative Applicant in the Scoring Appendix or eSNAPS application. | 5 points will be awarded if the proposed project identified improvement opportunities and a plan to address the improvement opportunities. | Up to 5 Points |
| Identify how coordinated entry meets the needs of people experiencing homelessness who are survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking. | Score based on the project information provided to the Collaborative Applicant in the Scoring Appendix or eSNAPS application. | 5 points will be awarded if the project describes how policies and procedures are trauma informed and coordinate referrals between the CoC and service providers | Up to 5 points |
| (Renewal SSO-CE Projects) Demonstration of inclusion of victim-centered practices (e.g., Housing First, Trauma-Informed Care, Confidentiality, Safety) (All Projects PH-RRH) | Score based on the organization's experience in housing first, trauma informed care, victim centered approaches to meet the needs of DV survivors and the implementation plan for the new project. (Source of Information: Information provided to the Collaborative Applicant in the Scoring Appendix or the eSNAPS application.) | 5 points will be awarded if the project provides a complete response to the new project plan questions 10 points will be awarded if the project provides a complete response to the project plan questions and provides a complete response to questions asking for examples of experience in using trauma- informed, victim-centered approaches to meet the needs of DV survivors 15 points will be awarded if the project provides a complete response to project plan questions, provides examples of experience with victim-centered practices and the plan involves survivorsin policy and program development throughout the project's operation | Up to 15 points |

| Involving survivors with lived | Score based on the project information provided | 5 points will be awarded if the project | Up to 5 |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---------|
| expertise in policy and program | to the Collaborative Applicant in the Scoring | describes an existing or new plan to | points |
| development | Appendix or the eSNAPS application | involve survivors. | |
| (All Projects -PH-RRH & SSO-CE) | | | |

| Budget (All projects) | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------------|
| Cost per participant (All Projects) | Score will be based on expenses per participant charged to the CoC grant compared with the average for other projects of the same type. (Source of information: 2023 eSNAPS Application.) | O points will be awarded to a project whose average cost exceeds the average for similar projects by 10% or more 3 points will be awarded to a project whose average cost is greater or lesser than the average for similar projects by less than10% 5 points will be awarded to a project whose average cost falls below the average for similar projects by 10% or more | Up to 5 points |
| Budget's emphasis on housing provision and operations rather than services (All Projects) | Score will be based on the % of the requested CoC grant that will fund housing and operations rather than supportive services. (Source of information: 2023 eSNAPS Application.) | 1/20 th of a point will be awarded for each 1% of the requested CoC grant that will fund housing and operations rather than supportive services | Up to 5 points |

| Findings During Monitoring (Renewals and Expansion Project Requests) | | | |
|--|--|--|---|
| Compliance with local, state, and federal regulations (Renewals and Expansion Project Requests) | Score will be based on the number of instances of non-compliance discovered during the projects most recent monitoring by local, state, federal, or Collaborative Applicant staff. (Source of information: Monitoring efforts during CY2021and CY2022 and CoC Quarterly Status Reports submitted to date) | ½ point is deducted for each instance of non- compliance discovered | Maximum limit is 10 points deduction |
| Satisfaction of expectations for full occupancy and success in serving numbers projected (Renewals and Expansion Project Requests) | Score will be based on average daily bed utilization as reflected in the project's most recent APR. (Source of information: APR submitted to the SAGE HMIS Repository and HMIS/Homeless Navigation) | ½ of a point will be deducted for every 5% by which a project falls below 100% average daily bed utilization | Maximum limit is 10 points deduction |
| Management of grant funds and funding requirements Renewals and Expansion Project Requests) | Score will be based on the project's failure to fully spend funds awarded for use in operating periods ending in CY2021 or CY2022 and on timely submission of APRs and HCCSC reports since the beginning of CY2021 (Source of information: APR submitted to the SAGE HMIS Repository, HUD published CoC Spending Report, and monitoring.) | ½ point will be deducted for every \$5000 not spent during the project's 2 most recent completed operating years 1 point will be deducted for each late APR the project submitted for the 2 most recent completed operating years | Maximum limit is 10 points deduction |

| Participation with HCCSC (All projects) | | | |
|---|---|--|----------------|
| Organization's collaboration with and integration into the HCCSC (All Projects) | Score will be based on the organization's demonstrated collaboration with and participation in the HCCSC system. (Source of Information: Project information provided to the Collaborative Applicant in the Scoring Appendix.) | Up to 5 points will be awarded. Points awarded will depend on the organization's participation in and collaboration with the HCCSC system as a whole and the extent to which the organization provides personnel to contribute to committees and workgroups that benefit the system. | Up to 5 points |
| Promote Equity (All Projects) | | | |
| Agency leadership, governance, and policies (All Projects) | Score will be based on the number of boxes selected on the Collaborative Applicant Scoring Appendix. (Source of data: Project Information provided to the Collaborative Applicant in the Scoring Appendix.) | Up to 2 points will be awarded. if the first three boxes are selected Up 2 points will be awarded if the last box is selected, and the description is provided | Up to 4 points |
| Program participant outcomes (All Projects) | Score will be based on the number of boxes selected on the Collaborative Applicant Scoring Appendix. (Source of data: Project Information provided to the Collaborative Applicant in the Scoring Appendix.) | 1 point will be awarded if the first box is selected 1 point will be awarded if the second box is selected and the description is provided | Up to 2 points |

| Total Points Earned by Project | |
|--|--|
| TOTAL SCORE (points earned as a percentage of total points available to the project) | |

Objective criteria % of total possible points: PSH-33.70%, RRH-37.9%, System Performance Criteria: % of total possible points: PSH-44.9%, RRH-37.9%

Addressing Specific Barriers: All projects must meet Threshold Criteria and agree to Lowering Barriers and Housing First to be accepted, scored, and ranked. *

*See Scoring criteria & Score sheet appendix

Total Possible Points~Renewal Projects RRH-79 points, PSH-89 points, Renewal RRH (DV)-55 points, HMIS-15 points SSO-CE-15 points, SSO-CE (DV)-25 points Expansion Projects**: PSH-90 points, RRH-80 points, DV Bonus-RRH-60 points PSH-90 points, SSO-CE-11 points,

New Projects: PSH-75 points, RRH-75 points

^{**}Agencies that are applying for new funding for projects to expand a current Department of Housing and Urban Development Continuum of Care funded project will be scored based on the renewal project's Performance Outcomes and monitoring outcomes in addition to the new project scoring criteria.**

| Project Title: | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|---|---|
| Lead Agency: | | | |
| Comments and Suggestions: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | , |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | 1 |
| - | | | · |
| - | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | , | |
| | | | |
| - | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| - | - | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |